

Mr [redacted] *W 5/6 & MAY 1971*
SSA —

I didn't realize we had coordinated a message last week. Regardless of that I would have thought we should have seen the rewrite or at least had a call to say what changes were made. Has the business of holding new people been

discussed with us — seems much too arbitrary & inflexible !??

Did we ever draft a message to replace the earlier one?

What is status of

[redacted] planning 25X1A6a
[redacted]

25X1C4a

I'm confused & not a little frustrated.

JWC

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM	
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP	
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS
1	Mr. Coffey - FYI
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH
COMMENT	FILE
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION
<p>Remarks:</p> <p>The cable on top (DIR 137255) was released without coordination with either SSA or DD/S. The second cable attached is the one you non-concurred in last week. I failed to make a copy of the cable that Mr. Wattles coordinated on last Friday, however, I did compare it with the second cable attached and noted that a few words were changed in paragraph 1, that paragraphs 2 and 5 and the first sentence in paragraph 3 were eliminated from the message Bob coordinated on. Everything else remained the same.</p>	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
D/SSA-DDS x6025	5/6/71
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET	

FORM NO. 1-67 237 Use previous editions (40)

25X1A9a